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Energy Generation Potential of Wastes

- **Total liquid waste (ML/day)**
- **Solid waste (Mt/day)**

Bar charts showing:
- South, North, West, East regions with bars for total liquid waste and solid waste.

- **Energy potential - LW (MW)**
- **Energy potential - SW (MW)**

Bar charts for energy potential in South, North, West, East regions with bars for LW and SW.

Map of India showing states and union territories.
Energy Generation Potential (contd.)
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Degradable Fraction in Solid Waste

- Refers to organic biologically degradable fraction such as: food materials, leaves, vegetable and fruit peels etc
Waste Compositions

Does not include degradable fraction
Technological Options and Implementation

- Municipal solid waste
- Combustible fraction
  - Refuse derived fuel
- Biodegradable fraction
  - Anaerobic digestion
  - Sanitary landfilling

Options for waste management:
- Refuse derived fuel
- Anaerobic digestion
- Sanitary landfilling
1. Landfill Gas Recovery

• Status
  – More than 90% of the waste is currently just dumped in low lying areas
  – Few engineered landfill sites

• Advantages of LFG Recovery:
  • Reduced GHG emissions
  • Energy generation (~500 BTU/ft³)
    – Used for – power generation, thermal use (cooking)

• Limitations
  • Landfills have huge land requirement – so large land requirements (for energy captured)
  • May only be able to collect 30-40% of LFG
  • Presence of hazardous materials can lead to potential toxic emissions along with methane
  • Possible accidents due to leakage of methane and escape of methane
  • High cost associated with gas collection and cleaning (remove H₂S)
  • Difficulty in methane use in remote areas
2. Incineration

- Attempted to set up 300 TPD plant for recovery of 3.8 MW of power in New Delhi [5x lower than US where ~500 kWhr/ton]
  - Unsuccessful due to:
    - Low calorific value of waste (550-850 kcal/kg = ~2.9 GJ/ton)
    - Developed World: ~10 GJ/ton
    - Most high calorific value items removed by rag pickers (they have economic value).
      - Remaining MSW has large amounts of ash and earth
      - Some efforts towards source segregation, but may take time to achieve this

- Limitations
  - Not suitable for low calorific value, high moisture content
  - Presence of some toxic metals in wastes
  - Emissions- particulates, SOx, NOx, dioxins, heavy metals requiring pollution control equipments
  - High cost
3. Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) production

- RDF fluff or pellets for thermal/power generation
- Proximity to waste generation site and ease of transportation to user industries such as cement plants
- Indigenous technologies and operational plants
  - Addition of biomass/other fuel to improve calorific value
  - Drying arrangements to bring down the moisture content

**Status:**
- Plants set up at Mumbai and Bangalore
- Vijaywada and Hyderabad in Andhra Pradesh (6 MW, 500 tpd)
- In Delhi (proposed 1500 TPD)
- Calorific value of 3500 kcal/kg
4. Anaerobic digestion

- Microbiological decomposition of organic fraction
  - Suitable for wastes with high organic and moisture content
- Successful for segregated waste (decentralized)
  - Energy and manure
  - Waste composition and efficiency of biodigester key factors

**Implementation:**
- Biogas plants based on animal dung
  - Family size (4.1 million)
  - Community/Institutional/night soil based (2362)
- Power generation from cattle manure
  - 1 MW power project (235 mt of animal waste/day)
  - Using Austrian Technology
- Also have implemented in wastewater treatment plants associated with:
  - Distilleries
    - Biogas generated used for replacement of coal/fossil fuel in boiler or for power generation (150 biomethanation units)
  - Pulp and paper, Tannery, Domestic wastewater, Food processing (starch/tapioca)
Anaerobic Digestion (contd.)

- **Advantages**
  - Indigenous technologies available
  - Successfully tried at a small scale (<20 TPD)
  - Family sized biogas plants based on cowdung
  - Can avoid GHG emissions and odor
  - Less land area

- **Disadvantages**
  - Capital intensive
  - For larger plants:
    - Imported technologies
    - Controlled conditions and requirement of high-end technical expertise
  - Not suitable for non biodegradable waste

- **Current initiatives (low cost decentralized)**

- **Modified rural biogas plants**
  - For food wastes
  - For water hyacinth
  - Vegetable market wastes

- **Plug flow digester (Indian Institute of Science)**
  - For leafy biomass

- **Biphase process (TERI)**
  - Leafy wastes, food wastes, coffee processing, press mud
Economic analysis of indigenous Plug Flow Digester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily treatment capacity</td>
<td>800-1000 kg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogas yield</td>
<td>150-200 m³/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG equivalent</td>
<td>75-100 kg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of the plant including pipings</td>
<td>Rs. 750000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M cost</td>
<td>Rs. 66000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev (Biogas @ Rs. 3.75/m³(8c) and manure@ Rs. 630/ton ($12.6))</td>
<td>Rs. 411720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback period</td>
<td>2.84 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anaerobic Digestion (contd.)

• Advantages
  – Indigenous technologies are available
    • Have been successfully tried at a small scale (<20 TPD)
  – Possible at small/decentralised level
    • Family sized biogas plants based on cow dung have been successful
  – Can avoid GHG emissions and odor
  – Less land area

• Disadvantages
  – Capital intensive
  – For larger plants:
    • Imported technologies
    • Controlled conditions and requirement of high-end technical expertise
  – Not suitable for non biodegradable waste
5. Projects Implemented

With support of the Ministry of Non-Conventional Energy Sources

- Biomethanization plants for solid wastes
  - Palm oil industry waste (3 MW)
  - Poultry waste (2.5 and 1.5 MW)
  - Municipal Solid Waste (5 MW)
  - Vegetable market waste (0.3 MW)
  - Slaughterhouse wastes (0.5 MW)
  - Tannery fleshings and sludge (0.03 MW)

- Power generation from
  - Municipal waste
  - Sugarcane press mud
  - Poultry waste
  - Starch industry waste

- Current Status:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Implemented</th>
<th>Under Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biogas/Biometh</td>
<td>12 MW (15 projects)</td>
<td>26 MW (8 projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSW-based</td>
<td>14 MW (5 projects)</td>
<td>23 MW (2 projects)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other initiatives

• Financial incentives
  – Ministry of non-conventional energy sources
    • Capital subsidy
    • Interest subsidy
  – Indian Renewable Energy Development Agency and state nodal agencies

• Legislations
  – Ministry of Environment and Forests (Solid wastes management and handling rules), 2000
    • Biodegradable wastes for generation of useful resources
    • Landfill sites for inert wastes

• Public private partnerships
  – Municipal corporations (Delhi, Surat, Ahmedabad)
    • Schemes initiated for collection and source segregation of biodegradable and non biodegradable waste
Overall Challenges

• High capital cost and dependence on imported technologies/designs
  – Adapting imported technology to Indian conditions
• Financial support and associated risk
• Integration of all aspects of solid waste management including collection, segregation and transportation
• Lack of adequate infrastructure and finance available from municipalities
Summary

• A sustainable waste management system depends on
  – Site and availability of land
  – Nature of waste
  – Availability of segregated waste
  – Technology
  – Financing
  – Market for products recovered
  – Environmental impacts
  – Enforcement of legislations,

Overall:
An integrated approach with mix of technological options at both centralized and decentralized levels